Appl. No. 10/767,513 Amendment dated July 5, 2006 Reply to Office action of April 6, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached drawing sheets include changes to Figs. 1, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16. These sheets replace the original sheets containing the noted Figures. The drawings have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's comments.

Fig. 1 has been amended to include reference character 35.

Fig. 8 has been amended to include reference characters 110, 112.

Fig. 10 has been amended to include reference characters 22, 123, 127, 129.

Fig. 11 has been amended to include reference character 118.

Fig. 13 has been amended to change reference character "42" (leftward occurrence) to --44--.

Fig. 15 has been amended to change reference character "158" to --159--, and to include reference characters 162, 164.

Fig. 16 has been amended to include reference characters 162, 164.

The Examiner's approval is respectfully requested.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

Appl. No. 10/767,513 Amendment dated July 5, 2006 Reply to Office action of April 6, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The specification and drawings have been amended in accordance the Examiner's comments, and to correct minor errors. The Examiner is kindly asked to contact applicant's undersigned attorney if any further changes are deemed appropriate.

Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 14-36, 38, 39, 42, 45-50, 52-61 stand canceled.

The Amendment leaves claims 4-9, 12, 13, 37, 40, 41, 43, 44, 51 pending. There has been no substantive art rejection of these claims. Claims 4, 12, 37, 40, 43, 44, 51 have been amended to independent form, including all parent claim limitations including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and additionally claim 4 has been amended for proper antecedent basis.

Responsive to the double patenting rejection, applicant respectfully notes that present Application No. 10/767,513 and co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 10/956,408 are and were at the time of invention of each commonly owned by Fleetguard, Inc. Enclosed are copies of the respective assignments and recordation form cover sheets reflecting recordal in the U.S. Patent Office. It is believed that the double patenting rejection should now be overcome.

It is believed that this application is now in condition for allowance with claims 4-9, 12, 13, 37, 40, 41, 43, 44, 51, and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

Michael J. Saber

Michael E. Taken

(Reg. No. 28,120)

100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202 (414) 271-7590